Measurements and implications of ultra-high energy diffuse  $\gamma$ -ray emission from the Galactic plane

Qiang Yuan

Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Science

CDY seminar, April 3, 2024

# General picture of Galactic cosmic rays

#### © I. V. Moskalenko



Diffuse  $\gamma$  rays are expected *a priori* to be produced by CR interactions during the propagation, and are thus powerful probe of CR propagation

### **Origins of Galactic diffuse \gamma rays**

p,a + ISM  $\rightarrow \pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ 

e + ISM  $\rightarrow \gamma$  (bremsstrahlung)

e + ISRF  $\rightarrow \gamma$  (inverse Compton)





### **Diffuse** $\gamma$ **-ray observations from space**











## **VHE diffuse emission by Milagro**

- Milagro measured diffuse emission in the Galactic plane around ~10 TeV
- Found excesses in the Cygnus region
- Source subtraction of Milagro is very limited



Milagro (2008)

#### **VHE diffuse emission by HESS**



ray flux measurements were made over an extensive grid of celestial locations. Longitudinal and latitudinal profiles of the observed  $\gamma$ -ray fluxes show characteristic excess emission not attributable to known  $\gamma$ -ray sources. For the first time large-scale  $\gamma$ -ray emission along the

#### **VHE diffuse emission by ARGO-YBJ**



ARGO-YBJ measured diffuse emission from the inner Galaxy region, which is consistent with the extrapolation of Fermi-tuned model prediction

## UHE diffuse measurements by Tibet-ASγ

Tibet-ASγ (2021)

- Tibet-ASγ measured diffuse emission above 100 TeV, and found excess compared with the conventional model prediction
- Source subtraction radius is 0.5 degree



## **VHE diffuse emission by HAWC**



spectrum is compatible with the spectrum of the emission arising from a CR population with an *index* similar to that of the observed CRs. When comparing with the DRAGON *base model*, the HAWC GDE flux is higher by about a factor of 2. Unresolved sources such as pulsar wind nebulae and teraelectronvolt halos could explain the excess

#### HAWC 2024, ApJ, 961, 104

#### Wide-band diffuse emission measurements



Whipple (99% C.L., 38 < ( < 43 , |b| < 2 ), Tibet ASγ (99% C.L., 38.5° < l < 41.5°, |b| < 2°) CASA-MIA (90%.C.L., 50° < l < 200°, |b| < 5°) AkGO-16J (99% C.L., 130°< l < 200°, |b| < 5°) Milagro (95%C.L., 136° < l < 216°, |b| < 2°) Tibet ASγ (99% C.L., 140° < l < 225°, |b| < 2°)

#### Large High Altitude Air Shower Observatory (LHAASO)



Haizi mountain, Sichuan, China
4410 m above the sea level

Atmospheric Depth (r.l.)

#### **LHAASO detector layout**



- > 5195 EDs
  - $-1 m^2 each$
  - 15 m spacing
- ≻ 1188 MDs
  - 36 m<sup>2</sup> each
  - 30 m spacing
- > 3120 WCDs
  - 25 m<sup>2</sup> each
- > 18 WFCTs

The large area and hybrid detection technique makes LHAASO a powerful facility for cosmic ray and gammaray observations in a wide energy range.



## **Gamma/CR discrimination**



10

10-

10

MC 
 γ-rays

1.5

Experimental data

2 log (E /TeV) 2.5

Efficiencies change from ~90% to ~60%

### **Background estimate**



Direct integral method: assuming the spatial distribution in detector coordinate is stable in a reasonably short time bin

Efficiencies do vary slightly with time, and thus a sliding window method is adopted (1\_10 is used as benchmark, 1 hr step and +/-5 hr window)

#### **Resolved source mask**

$$R_{\text{mask}} = n \cdot \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{psf}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{ext}}^2},$$

- ➤ n=2.5 is chosen
- PSF of the lowest energy bin is used
- Source catalogs: KM2A catalog + TeVCat
- For overlapping sources, KM2A parameters are used



LHAASO first catalog (2024, ApJS)

#### **LHAASO diffuse results**



LHAASO, 2023, PRL, 131, 151001

#### **LHAASO diffuse results**



- First detection of VHE diffuse emission from outer Galactic plane
- > Spectra follow power-law forms with an index of ~3

#### Longitude and latitude profiles



Roughly consistent with gas distributions for b, but show significant deviation for l

## **Spatial distribution**



Difference of the longitude distribution between data and gas is also reflected in the angular spectra

#### **Confront LHAASO data with a toy model**



- Toy model prediction: local CR × gas column (PLANCK dust opacity)
- Measured fluxes are higher by a factor of 2~3 than predictions: unresolved sources or propagation effect?
- Spectra are slightly different from the prediction: fitting pvalues of 10<sup>-4</sup>~10<sup>-2</sup> in the inner region

#### **Confront LHAASO data with a GALPROP model**



22

## **Unresolved source population?**



#### **Unresolved source contribution**



#### **Unresolved source contribution**

10-





- ✓ The contribution of unresolved sources is able to describe the exta conponent for Outer Galaxy region, while it is insufficient for Inner Galaxy region.
- $\checkmark$  Larger extended sources was not considered
- $\checkmark$  Additional contributions from unknown mechanisms
- J. He et al. (2024, in preparation)

## **Pulsar halo interpretation**

#### HAWC (2017, Science)



Extended halos around middle-aged pulsars are expected to be common in Milky Way, which could form a diffuse component of gamma-ray background (e.g., Aharonian & Atoyan 2000; Linden & Buckman 2018).



K. Yan et al. (2024, Nat. Astron.)

See also Dekker et al. 2003

#### **Pulsar halo interpretation**



K. Yan et al. (2024, Nat. Astron.)

# Summary

- The diffuse emission from two regions of the Galactic plane was observed with high significance; Firstly detected in the outer Galaxy region!
- Spectral indices of both regions are about -3; deviation from single power-law is not evident by the current data
- The latitude distributions are consistent with the gas template, and more complicated structures in the longitude distributions
- Overall fluxes of are higher by a factor of several than the local CR interaction with l.o.s. gas —— unresolved sources or propagation effect?
- > A pulsar halo model can properly explain the measurements



